

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459

Mexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/089,956	04/04/2002	Junichi Yamaura	10059-416US(P26235-01)	1124	
570	7590 04/23/2004		EXAMINER		
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P. ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200			CANTELMO, GREGG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELF	PHIA, PA 19103-7013		1745		

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W.
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
			956	YAMAURA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
			Cantelmo	1745	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of inication. It days, a reply within the structory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a latutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2l	b) This action is	non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•		•	s is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from c			J.
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2002</u> is Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a)⊠ accep iion to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docun al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in A nents have been ule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmen			_		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O 048)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Per No(s)/Mail Date <i>April 4, 2002</i> .			nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed April 4, 2002 has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Drawings

3. The drawings received April 4, 2002 are acceptable for examination purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 11-288705-A (JP '705).

JP '705 discloses a negative electrode comprising: carbon material having irreversible capacity during the initial charge and discharge and Li3-xMxN wherein N is at least one selected

Art Unit: 1745

from the group consisting of Co, Ni, Mn and Cu and wherein $0.2 \le x \le 0.8$ (abstract, paragraph [0012] and paragraph [0017] as applied to claim 1).

The lithium-containing complex is Li2.6Co0.4N (paragraph [0017 as applied to claim 2).

The negative electrode is employed in a battery comprising a positive electrode comprising a lithium-containing complex oxide (paragraph [0014]) and a non-aqueous electrolyte (paragraph [0016] as applied to claim 6).

The difference between claim 1 and JP '705 is that JP '705 does not teach of 100 parts by weight of carbon and 20-150 parts by weight of lithium-containing complex nitride.

By example, JP '705 teaches of 87:5 parts carbon to lithium lithium-containing complex nitride (paragraph [0017). This mixture provides for a capacity of 57-56 mAh as shown in Table 1 and that mixing the carbon and lithium-containing complex nitride can control the irreversible capacity.

The instant application teaches that the capacity for the various mixtures of carbon to lithium-containing complex nitride is at least 50 mAh (see Tables 1 and 2). As can be seen in JP '705 the prior art mixture of 87:5 also achieves the same improved capacity. Therefore the variance in ranges between the instant claims and the teachings of JP '705 are not held to be critical differences since both ranges achieve the same capacity characteristics.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of JP '705 by varying the ratio of carbon to lithium-containing complex nitride to any number of ratios so long as the mixture ratio provided for the an improved capacity as shown in Table 1. Generally, differences in ranges will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence

Art Unit: 1745

indicating such ranges is critical. <u>In re Boesche</u>, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). <u>In re Aller</u>, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). <u>In re Hoeschele</u>, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969). It has been held that when the difference between a claimed invention and the prior art is the range or value of a particular variable, then a <u>prima facie</u> rejection is properly established when the difference in the range or value is minor. <u>Titanium</u> Metals Corp. of Am. v. Banner, 778 F.2d 775, 783, 227 USPQ 773, 779 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

6. Claims 3-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '705 as applied to claims 1 above, and further in view of JP 09-235111-A (JP '111).

The differences not yet discussed are of the carbon material being a low crystalline carbon (claim 3) in fibrous form having a mean fiber diameter of 1-50 microns and mean fiber length of 10-200 microns (claim 4), of the carbon being amorphous carbon (claim 5).

JP '111 discloses providing a low crystalline carbon as a negative electrode material wherein the carbon is in fibrous form having a mean fiber diameter of 1-20 microns (paragraph [0032]) and a mean fiber length of 100, 50 or 30 microns (paragraph [0030] as applied to claims 3 and 4). The carbon is amorphous (Field of Invention as applied to claim 5).

The motivation for using the carbon material of JP '111 in a negative electrode is that it improves the charge and discharge capacity of the negative electrode.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of JP '705 by using the carbon material of JP '111 in a negative electrode since it would have improved the charge and discharge capacity of the negative electrode.

Art Unit: 1745

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. FAXES received after 4 p.m. will not be processed until the following business day. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

They Cantol

April 20, 2004